

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/783,726	02/14/2001	Mihal Lazaridis	1400-1072 D2	7167
82297 7590 06/04/2012 The Danamraj Law Group, PC/RIM Attn: Reba Pieczynski			EXAMINER	
			STRANGE, AARON N	
Premier Place, 5910 N. Centra			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dallas, TX 752			2448	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2012	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

portfolioprosecution@rim.com uspto-inbox@danamraj.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/783,726	LAZARIDIS ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
AARON STRANGE	2448

-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address
HE REPLY FILED 23 May 2012 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION	N IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
IO NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED	
<ul> <li>\( \times \) The reply was filed after a final rejection. No Notice of Appeal has been one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evide</li> </ul>	nce, which places the application in condition for allowance;
	31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with a not permitted in design applications. The reply must be filed within one of
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later</li> </ul>	ry Action; or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
within 2 months of the mailing date of the final rejection. The curre the prior Advisory Action or SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a), (b FIRST RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S FIRST AFFER-FINA REJECTION. ONLY CHECK BOX (c) IN THE LIMITED SI	f the final rejection, whichever is earlier.  y or (c). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THIS ADVISORY ACTION IS THE AL REPLY WHICH WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL FUNCTION SET FORTH UNDER BOX (c). See MPEP 706.07(f).
ixtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFF1 1.136(a). The date of kensonin fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining purporatie extension fee under 37 CFF1 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the tin the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) or (c) above, if checi hailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any ea IOTICE OF APPEAL	period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The ne expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally ked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the
. The Notice of Appeal was filed on	
. The proposed amendments filed after a final rejection, but prior to	the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
<ul> <li>a) They raise new issues that would require further considerat</li> </ul>	ion and/or search (see NOTE below);
<li>b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li>	
<ul> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding	anding number of finally rejected claims
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	rialing hamber of infanty rejected dame.
. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See	attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Cor	
. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable it	
allowable claim(s).	
. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): (a) will new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or ap	
FFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	s why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier
. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing the Notic because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejec and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier pres	tions under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the s REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	tatus of the claims after entry is below or attached.
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NO	DT place the application in condition for allowance because:
<ol> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/</li> </ol>	08) Paper No(s). 20120524
Other: See Continuation Sheet.  ATUS OF CLAIMS	
. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: .	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 102-109,111,112 and 122-129.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
	/Aaron Strange/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2448

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the rejection of claims 102-109, 111, 112 and 122-129 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph.

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's amendments and accompanying arguments are sufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 102-109, 111, 112, and 122-129 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, iris paragraph. Accordingly, that rejection has been withdrawn. All pending claims remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103, as set forth in the Office action of 4/13/2012.